

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/629,014	07/29/2003	Guy M. Cohen	YOR920000173US2 7623		
28211	7590 05/25/2005		EXAMINER		
FREDERICK W. GIBB, III			GEBREMARIAM, SAMUEL A		
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC 2568-A RIVA ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 304			2811		
ANNAPOLIS, MD 21401			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/629,014	COHEN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Samuel A. Gebremariam	2811

Advisory Action	10/629,014 COHEN ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Samuel A. Gebremariam	2811	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	 !ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		<u> </u>	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jecteu ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 30 and 36.	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ winded below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>24-29,31-35,37 and 38</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	Ng(s)	
13. Other:			
		EDDIE LEE	
	_	RY PATENT FYARMINE	:D

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner maintains the position that the Lee reference teaches the limitation that the spacers are independent of the gate material. As stated in the final action, the limitation of "independent of the material of said top gate and said bottom gate" is broadly interpreted to mean that the gate material and the spacer materials are independent in the sense that gate material is conductive and the spacer material is an insulator. Therefore the spacers adjacent the top gate and bottom gate are independent materials.

With regards to the 112 first paragraph rejection of claim 26, the examiner finds applicant's argument persuasive.